

## **K-12 Multiplatform Gradebook Application**

**Carla Carmela P. Perez**<sup>1</sup> *ccperez@psu.edu.ph* Pangasinan State University

**Abstract** - K-12 Multiplatform Gradebook Application is an automated grading system that can be accessed in computer platform for grading process and mobile platform for viewing of class record. Specifically, the study attained the following objectives: (1) To determine a framework to develop the k-12 multi-platform gradebook application; and (2) To determine the level of usability and the acceptability of the proposed K-12 multiplatform gradebook application.

The study was conducted through descriptive and developmental research design. An iterative and incremental development model was used in determining the framework and in developing the system.

The developed system was determined very usable with the overall computed mean of 4.88 which was evaluated by 5 IT experts. It was also determined as acceptable with the overall tabulated mean of 4.50 that was evaluated by 139 high school teachers and 2 DepEd supervisors.

**Keywords:** Gradebook Application, K-12, Multiplatform Gradebook

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Computer technology has become an essential tool for easier and faster way of recording information. It can store, organize, and manage voluminous data. Computers served as efficient data storage systems and excellent information processors. With the advent of technology, having a computerized grading system would make the task of recording and computing of grades easier for the teachers.

Grading System in education is a system that is used to compute and analyzed the educational performance and skills of students which is entirely based upon points alone. There are many types of grading system available now. Right from providing an O grade to A grade, to providing a 'pass' or 'fail' alone, many standard based grading systems are incorporated in various schools across the globe according to their own needs and desires.

At Hudsonville Public Schools, Michigan, United States, letter grade is used in grading system implemented last 2015, wherein A is the highest with a numerical range of 93100, and E is the lowest with an equivalence of 0-59.

In the Philippines, the last country in Asia that implemented the K-12 Grading System is used in assessing student's performance and skills. K-12 Program or the Basic Education Curriculum covers 13 years of basic education from kindergarten to grade 12 with a level of elementary, junior high school, and senior high school. The Department of Education (DepEd), executive department Philippine the of government that managed and governed Philippine system of basic education, implemented the K to 12 Enhanced Basic Education Program based from DepEd Order No.8. series of 2015 or also known as the Policy Guidelines of Classroom Assessment. From the said policy, it uses a standard and competencybased grading system wherein all grades will be based on the weighted raw score of the learners' summative assessment. There will be a standard grade of 60, which is equivalent to 75 in order to pass a specific learning area.

By using technology in grading system, DepEd provides Electronic Class Record (ECR)



or also known as E-class record which is used for grading process consistent with the said policy guidelines. The primary purpose of the Eclass record is to ensure sustainability and to minimize technical difficulty with the templates designed and simplified from the basic features used in a spreadsheet file.

The E-class record consists of all learning areas in the elementary and high school level. A teacher must have an own copy of the template of subject or specific learning area assigned to him, and input all the data needed in spreadsheet, encode raw scores in every classroom assessment. After that, the grades will automatically be computed and reports will automatically be generated such as summary of final grades.

Information and Communications Technology (ICT) works along with grading system which promotes accuracy in the computation of grades and efficiency of generating class record, grading sheets, and other pertinent reports needed by the school for submission and decision-making purposes. In manual systems, updating is slow, time consuming and prone to errors. Furthermore, the manual process makes different organizations to encountered problems such as repetitive nature of work, slow generation of reports and increasing volume of paper documents. According to Barreno, Arevalo, Abundo, and Laput (2014), Metropolitan Academy of Manila School uses a manual way of computing grades and adding information or records of both students and teachers. The process entails a lot of effort and by computing and storing of data manually the process is prone to error especially for the teacher who handled two or more classes. Through the advent of technology, ICT paved the way for many people to facilitate ICT tools in order to automate these different institutional activities.

In Zayed University in the United Arab Em irates, Bataineh, and Abbar (2007) conducted a survey to assess and measure the usefulness, usability and effectiveness of Mgrade, a new mobile-based electronic grade system that was designed to replace the traditional paper-based methods of managing grades in the school system within the gulf region. The new system provides many features and functionalities that assists teacher's recording and managing students' performance assessment. By using the Personal Digital Assistant (PDA) device, teachers are capable of entering and processing student grade data into their electronic grade sheet anytime and anywhere. The application creates final grade report where it can be submitted to the school administration wirelessly. The results of the survey have shown that the new tool is very useful, easy to use and learn, and effective.

In Korea, an online grading system was developed wherein the primary aim is to collect, process, and return the grades produced by the teachers. According to Thompson and Ahm (2012), it is shown and emphasized that this system has a number of advantages over manual grading methods, including scalability, real-time feedback on the status of grading, the reduced potential for human error in compiling grades, the ability for teacher to grade remotely and to revise their grades after submission, the ability for course administrators to easily review grading results and remove statistical outliers from the score set, the ability to return both provisional and final grades to the course faculty, staff, and students in a timely manner, and the ability to archive and export grading data for future use.

In Dagupan City National High School in the Pangasinan, Philippines, Cornel (2010) emphasized that an e-Student Personnel Record can quickly and efficiently help teachers and school administrators interact with each other for a positive education experience. The teacher can record and compute grades, and add comments about study habits. School administrator could see at glance the daily classroom routine and the progression of grades. Students could keep abreast of how well they were on task and their current scores.

K-12 Online Grading System in Agoo West District, Deped Division of La Union, Philippines was developed, validated and tested. Duran (2013) emphasized that the software was highly valid in terms of functionality and automation. Furthermore, the software was



highly usable as to content, organization, readability, navigation, user interface design, performance and effectiveness. Moreover, the usability of the software was significant. Hence, the developed software is valid and usable.

Studies or the developed system mentioned above proved that by using technology or application system in grading process is much more effective and efficient medium. However, multiplatform application can be one of the best system development that can be enhanced according to those related studies. In this new generation, multiplatform application installed in both computer and mobile platform is increasingly used by the technology users.

However, applications or programs in mobile platform are an upgrade or maybe an elevation of the programs that is installed in the computer platform. It is exactly as the name implies - technology that is portable. Access is the primary benefit of mobile technology. There are thousands of applications or programs that can help people to stay informed anywhere or anytime. It has a higher efficiency in terms of being more efficient and provides most efficient solution to any businesses and work today with possibilities, knowing endless the appropriateness to use mobile devices as an important aspect in this increasingly connected Teacher-empowering world. technologies include mobile apps which contribute help in teaching and enhancing strategies such as comprising these in a grading system.

The researchers grabbed the opportunity to use this technology for developing a study Multiplatform Gradebook entitled "K-12 Application" wherein it can be both accessed and viewed in both computer and mobile platform. In this way, the developed study will be used more purposively, powerful and meaningful. It is well-suited for managing grades of students compared to the existing eclass record which can lead to duplicate work, limited to single person or PC and without purchasing additional equipment and software. there is no way to back-up the data, leaving it exposed to data corruption or even total loss. With the developed system, convenience in

Volume 2, Issue 1, 2017 P-ISSN: 2672-2984 E-ISSN: 2672-2992 www.sajst.org

recording, retrieving, and updating student's academic records in an effective and efficient manner can be achieved. Moreover security, accessibility and scalability of the data in a class record are rest assured. Using of this software, teachers could be needlessly wasting hours assessing students with an antiquated system.

#### **II. STATEMENT OF OBJECTIVES**

The primary purpose of this study is to design and develop K-12 Multi-platform Gradebook Application.

Specifically, it was aimed to achieve the following objectives:

- 1. To determine a framework to develop the k-12 multiplatform gradebook application; and
- 2. To determine the level of usability and the acceptability of the K-12 multiplatform gradebook application.

#### **III. METHODOLOGY**

this study, descriptive and In developmental research design were used. The conduct of an interview was applied in to gather the procedures and guidelines of K-12 grading system, the presently used e-class record and to assure the reliability of information. Procedures or guidelines of K-12 grading system are very essential in developing K-12 Multiplatform Gradebook Application. It is the basis on how the system will work in terms of recording the scores of exams, quizzes, and activities and computing the grades in accordance with the policy of classroom assessment or with the DepEd Order No.8 s.2015.

In determining the framework of the k-12 multiplatform gradebook application, Iterative and Incremental development model was used which includes four phases: Inception, Elaboration, Construction, and Transition. The developed system was measured using Software Usability Measurement Inventory (SUMI) by Veenendaal (1998).and evaluated by 5 IT experts as shown in table 1.



TABLE 1. Distribution of the Respondents for level of Usability of K-12 Multiplatform Gradebook Application

			A A
]	Evaluator	Qualifications	Institution
1.	Evaluator 1	Application Developer	PSU- Lingayen
2.	Evaluator 2	Web Developer	PSU- Lingayen
3.	Evaluator	Application	Colegio De
	3	Developer	Dagupan
4.	Evaluator 4	Web Developer	Colegio De Dagupan
5.	Evaluator 5	Web Developer	PSÚ- Urdaneta

It was also measured using ISO 9126-1

by McCall (1977) and Boehm (1978) and evaluated by 139 secondary teachers from Mangaldan National High School, Pangasinan and 2 DepEd supervisors from DepEd Division Office Pangsinan I and Regional Office I, City of San Fernando, La Union. Each of the items of the questionnaire that indicates to determine the level of usability and level of acceptability of the developed K-12 multiplatform gradebook application was analyzed and categorized using 5-point Likert Scale and was tabulated using frequency count and mean.

#### **IV. FINDINGS**

#### Framework of K-12 Multiplatform Gradebook Application

Iterative and incremental development model was used to determine the overall framework of the developed system. Harisha (2017) stated that a methodical approach to software development results in fewer defects and, therefore, ultimately provides shorter delivery time and better value. Through this, the following results were found and documented.

#### **Overview of the System**

The developed system improved working method of grading process by enhancing the e-class record which is an MS Excel based. It is designed exactly to what the Volume 2, Issue 1, 2017 P-ISSN: 2672-2984 E-ISSN: 2672-2992 www.sajst.org

teachers need or suitable in assessing the students in classroom.

The developed system is interactive and user-friendly interface such that even those with little or no knowledge working with the computers can easily operate it. This can be implemented to be used by the whole campus or institution as one tool in classroom assessment. A multiplatform based, wherein the system can run to the computer platform and mobile platform. The administrator and the teachers are the two user requirements of the system. They can both access the computer based system through username and password security where the grading process will take place. But only the teachers can access the mobile based application for viewing of grades or academic records. All entered data in the system will be saved in one database, in other words, all the students' records in the entire school are in one place to avoid data redundancy and loss. The system provides also the capability to back-up the recorded data in present school year and previous school year.

#### Inception

In this phase, based from the result of the interview (see Appendix F) that is used in the development of the study, the researcher considered or identified the procedure or guidelines of k-12 grading system based from the DepEd Order No.8 s.2015 (pls refer to page 17). It shows the steps on how the grades are computed or how the teachers do the grading process.

Another factor considered in the developed system is the e-class record. This is an automated grading system using MS Excel implemented by the DepEd last school year 2015-2016. This is used to identify what information or data should be included in the system such as student's information, school details and reports generated.

K-12 grading system and e-class record are carefully studied as the basis in the functionalities and structure applied to the developed system.

#### Elaboration



After the requirements has been identified and studied during inception phase, overall architecture and visual models were presented in this phase. It helped the researcher in visualizing the design and features of the system.

Figure 1 shows the system architecture of the developed K-12 Multiplatform Gradebook Application in local networking to the specified school or campus. Technically, the system is composed of three components. The first component functions as the server computer. It enables the administrator to save all the data that comes back and forth to a single database which can be accessed by the teacher. The administrator also control the back-up process, including how often back-ups are performed, whether to back-up the data in whole school year or just the data that has changed during the school year. The administrator acts as a controller of the system. The second component represents the access control of the teacher through desktop computer with a wifi receiver for server connection or with a laptop device, wherein the teacher can add academic records of their students and generate reports such as grade sheets, final grades, and summary of grades. The third component signifies the access of the teacher from the server through mobile devices in viewing academic records of their students. The mobile phone should be connected with the IP address of the server computer.





Figure 2 shows the Use Case Diagram portraying the whole feature of the developed system.

The administrator and the teacher are the user requirements of the system. The primarily role of administrator is to control or to handle the server of the system such as teacher's account, school details, subjects and section, student's information, database content, grade sheets, viewing the progress report of the students through a graphical representation, data reset and data back-up. Administrator will be the principal of the school or any authorized user. Local database synchronization is facilitated only by the administrator or principal.

Teacher has limited access to the system. Adding raw scores to academic records to an assigned subjects and students to him is the main function of the teacher. The teacher has also the capability to update his account after logging-in to the system. Also, he can generate reports such as academic records per quarter, summary of final grades of the whole school year with the capability of viewing the progress reports through graphical representation (see Plate 10).

With the synchronization of the database remotely to the mobile devices, teacher can then view the academic records from it. To be clearly stated, the data that comes back and forth to the server computer should be connected through local networking with the mobile phone with the use of application. Additionally, he can filter the academic records by highest-lowest or lowesthighest of the scores per area and final grade, in order to monitor the ranking of the students.



Volume 2, Issue 1, 2017 P-ISSN: 2672-2984 E-ISSN: 2672-2992 www.sajst.org



Fig 2 . Use Case Diagram of K-12 Multiplatform Gradebook Application

Legend: WW- Written Work, PT-Performance Task, QA-Quarterly Assessment, QG-Quarterly Grade, FG – Final Grade

#### Construction

This presents the system design and fully developed K-12 Multiplatform Gradebook Application, which includes the build iteration and functionalities of the system.

The Administrator can access various pages of the system which is depicted in Plate 1 to Plate 14. The Plate 1 shown below enables the administrator to log-in to the system with username and password security for him to manage the system such as adding student's information in the list, registration of teachers, viewing and printing academic records and summary of grades, adding sections and subjects in the present school year, archiving database contents and resetting or clearing the grades for another school year, and updating school details.



Plate 1. Log-in Page

Plate 2 to Plate 4 shows the page where the administrator can add and view the list of students that are enrolled in the school. Administrator can add the students individually in the database of the system which is presented in Plate 2, or importing the list of students from MS Excel with csv file format as displayed in Plate 3. List of added students in the database of the students can be viewed or searched in the Students List as presented in Plate 4.

/**	12 Goding System	× 💷					1.6552	- s
6	C 🖞 🛈 locati	ast, 112/	ccount/Pond=isdeccontent=student=register				<b>☆</b> ≈ ≈	
ĸ	12 <mark>G</mark> radeb	ook	System					
16	Administrator		Dashboard / Students registration					
			STUDENTS PERSONAL DATA SHEET					
Π	Dashboard		Personal Information					
-	Students	×.	Family Name	Given Name:		Middle Name:		
1	Teachers	<b>i</b> 0						
1	Grade Sheet		Date of Birth	Age: 0				
	Summary Grades							
33	Section manager		Place of Birth		Permanent Address:			
R.	Send Archive		Center		Madellit: As con-	Wednesd in	line:	
\$	Settings	2	• max • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
			Family Background					
			Father's Nome:		Mother's Name			
			Academic Status					
			Tor.		Section.			

Plate 2. Adding Student's Information to the System



Plate 3. Importing Students' Data from MS Excel



* 81	2 Grading System	* (000				(CL0374) _
÷	C Q @ localh	ost/k12/acz	sait/Acestria	descontent student-bat		\$ M N 8
K1	2 Gradeb	ook S	ystem			
0	Administrator		Dushboard /	Students list		
eg.			00646000			
=	Dashboard					
-	Students	з.	1.1	lame	+ LRN	
FF.	Teachers		A1	alos, Daniel Taliman	123456578567	
1	Grade Sheet		0			
	Summary Grades		AL	XONIS, John Glenn Tandoc	16-LNSHS-0084	
-	Section manager		О B2	Resteros, Rafael John Lopez	234556667773	
-	Send Archive		0			
*	Second's		8/	RAQUIO, Justin Aquino	T6-LNSHS-0085	
			О н	LLEZA, Mark Daniel Caguioa	16-LNEHS-0086	
				RFUZ, Justin Louisse Repato	16-LNSHS-0087	

Plate 4. Students List

Plate 5 and 6 illustrates the registration of teachers in the system. Administrator is responsible in adding teacher's account for them to access the system as shown in Plate 5. After registering teacher, assigning to subjects they will teach and sections they will handle was followed as presented in Plate 6.



Plate 5. Registration or Adding Teachers Account



Plate 6. Assigning Section and Subjects to Teacher

/**	12 Grading System	×					10.222.2.11	- 0	<ul> <li>a</li> </ul>	K.
+	C O O local	iost/k12/	account/Virtul+indiaccontar	d-gading-sheet			* 10 14	0 🖬	0	E.
ĸ	12 Gradeb	ook	System	<b>EIII</b>						I,
16	Advelopmentor		Dashboard / Options	Grade sheet 7. Grade sheet						
=	Dashboard		Teacher: 1	Year: Grade 6	Section: Name	Subject English - Language				
-	Students	2	First Quarter						2	
-	Teachers	2	Second Quarter						>	
1	Grade Sheet		Third Quarter						5	
	Summary Grades		Fourth Quarter						>	
2	Section manager		Final Grade							
-	Settions									1
~	(terring)									I
										2

Plate 7. Grade Sheet for the Whole Quarter

Administrator can view the academic records of each section and their subject in the specified year level that was assigned to each teacher which is presented in Plate 7 and 8. Plate 7 shows the grade sheets for the whole quarter and final grades for four quarters with a sample grade sheet or academic record in first quarter depicted in Plate 8.

Summary of grades per section can also be viewed by the administrator as shown in Plate 9 and 10. Plate 9 signified the summary of grades per quarter. Moreover, progress report of the students per quarter can be viewed by graphical presentation as shown in Plate 10.

K12 Goding System	× 💷														1	1,655	- 5
+ C () () local	host/k12/	cosunt/#cmd = index.content =	gadi	ng-sheel											\$	10.10	
K12 Gradet	book	System		1			P								-		
Administrato		Deshboard / Options - G	ande 1	sheet /	Grade st	NewE											
Dashboard		Teacher: 1	Y	ear. Gra	de 6			Section	Narra			Subje	ct Englisi	- Langua	ge		
Students	2	First Quarter															
Teachers	<b>i</b> 0																
Grade Sheet	¥3																
Teachers     Grade Sheet     Summary Grades	#0	PRINT															,
Teachers     Grade Sheet     Summary Grades     Section manager	¥1	PRINT		Writter	Works 3	0%	P	erforma	nce Task	50%	Qu	arterly A	spessmer	n 20%			3
Trachers     Grade Sheet     Summary Grades     Section manager     Send Archive	¥.	PRINT	#1	Writter Total	Works 3	0% WS	P #1	erforma Total	nce Task PS	4 50% WS	Q1	arterly A Total	PS	n 20% WS	Initial Grade	Quarte	rty Gra
Trachers     Grade Sheet     Summary Grades     Section manager     Send Archive	¥1	PRAVT	#1	Writter Total 30	N Works 3 PS 100	0% WS 30%	P #1 50	erforma Total 50	nce Tasi PS 100	x 50% WS 50%	Qu #1	arterly A Total 100	PS 100	xt 20% WS 20% (	Initial Grade	Quarte	rty Gra
	X X	FRANT Highest Score Pusible Male	#1	Writter Total 30	N Works 3 PS 100	0% WS 30%	P #1 50	erforma Total 50	nce Tasi PS 100	ws	Qu #1 100	Total	PS 100	n 20% WS 20% (	Initial Grade	Quarte	rty Gra
Teachers     Grade Sheet     Grade Sheet     Section manager     Send Archive     Settings	8	FRAYT Highest Score Pusible Male ADOMS John Genn, Tandoc	#1 30	Writter Total 30 15	Works 3 PS 100 - 1 50.00	0% WS 30% ( 15.00	P #1 50 26	erforma Total 50 26	nce Tasi PS 100 52.00	x 50% WS 50% 26.00	Qi #1 100 70	Total 100	PS 100 ( 70.00	ws 20% ( 14.00	Initial Grade 100 55.00	Quarte	rty Gra 100 73
Teachers     Grade Sheet     Grade Sheet     Section manager     Send Archive     Semngs	8	FRONT Highest Score Pusible Male ADONIS John Glenn, Tandoc BARADURO Justin, Aquino	#1 30 15 25	Writter Total 30 15 25	Works 3 PS 100 50.00 83.33	0% WS 30%) 15.00 25.00	P #1 50 26 44	erforma Yotal 50 26 44	nce Tasi PS 100 52.00 88.00	x 50% WS 50% 26.00 44.00	Q4 #1 100 70 72	Total 100 I 70 72	PS 100   70.00 72.00	ws 20% 1 14,00 14,40	Initial Grade 100 55.00 83.40	Quarte	rty Gra 100 73

Plate 8. Sample Grade Sheet

<ul> <li>K12 Grading System</li> </ul>	×\									- 1000	0
C () locate	151/9/12/acco	unt/#cind=indeccontent=grade-summary		_				_			۳.
K12 <mark>G</mark> rade	book	System									
Administra		Nicetas L. Real	Grade 6			Narra				HOW QRADE SHEET	
263		Made									
Dashboard		Simple •									
Students											
E Teachers		Summary •	PRINT								2
Grade Sheet											
Summary Grader						Englis	h - Langu	ge			
Section manager			-		0	Juarter				General Average	
Send Archive		Name of Learners	÷0	1.1	2	1 3	10.00	с. н	Final Grade		
Settings	0	ADONIS John Glenn, Tandoc		74	82	63	1	8	79.25	79.25	-
		BARAQUIO Justin, Aquine		85	87	79	2	4	81.25	81.25	
		BELLEZA Mark Daniel, Caguloo		73	- 29	82		7	80.25	80.25	
		CORPUZ Justin Lolusse , Repato		74	85	80	7	7	79.00	79.00	
		COBBLIZ Monter Canto		92	26	78		2	82.25	82.76	



Plate 9. Summary of Grades for the Whole Quarter

/ 🗑 K	12 Grading System X									- 198	Ø
€ →	C O localhost/k1	2/acco	unt/#cmd=indexcontent=grade-summ	nary							₩ ☆
к	2 Gradebo	ook	System								
é	Administrator		Mode								
=	Dashboard		Graphical								
<b>.</b>	Students	>									Search
17	Teachers	>									
J,	Grade Sheet						English - Lang	age	_		
=	Summary Grades						Quarter			General Average	
11	Section manager		Name of Learners	1 0	2 0	3 0	4 0	Final Grade (			
	Send Archive		ADONIS John Glenn, Tandoc	74	82	83	78	79.25		79.25	-
\$	Settings	>	BARAQUIO Justin, Aquino	85	87	79	74	81.25		81.25	1
			BELLEZA Mark Daniel, Caguioa	73	79	82	87	80.25		80.25	
			CORPUZ Justin Loiusse , Repato	74	85	80	77	79.00		79.00	

Plate 10. Progress Report of the Students per Quarter

Adding of sections and subject before the start of the school year can be performed by the "section manager". All year level from grade 1 to grade 12 is already comprised in the section manager page as presented in Plate 11. To add sections and subjects, the admin must click the add section or add subject icon at the right side of each grade level as displayed in the same Plate. Plate 12 and 13 showed the way how to add sections and subject. The administrator is responsible also to specify the percentage of written works, performance tasks, and quarterly assessment according to the grading system rule from DepEd or the desired adjustments as presented in Plate 13.

/ 🕯 К	12 Grading System X		(22)	- 0
€ →	C localhost/k12/accour	0/#cmd=indexcontent=section-list		Ψá
к	2 Gradebook	System		
A	Administrator	Grade 2	<i>w</i> <sub>0</sub>	Б
15		Grade 3	$\equiv_+$	B
_	Darbhoard	Grade 4	$\pi_{0}$	B
-	Students	Grade 5	=+	Ð
-	Teachers	Grade 6		B
	Grade Sheet	Grade 7	=,	B
	Summary Grades	Grade 8	-	в
11	Section manager	Grade 9	$\pi_{0}$	Ð
	Send Archive	Grade 10		Ð
*	Settings	Grade 11	=	Ð
		Grade 12	=+	B
				_

Plate 11. Section Manager

Volume 2, Issue 1, 2017 P-ISSN: 2672-2984 E-ISSN: 2672-2992 www.sajst.org



Plate 12. Adding Section

K12 Unding System X      K12 Unding System X      O localitost/k12/sccsu	u%ond=indexcontent=section-list.	- 200
K12 Gradébook		MEHE
Administrator	Grade 1	5
	Add subject to Grade 1	5
	happet code	
	Performance Table (N) Witten Works (N) Galatie	ely Jaansmeet (N)

Plate 13. Adding Subject

Plate 14 shows the archiving database contents, resetting the grades for another school year, and viewing the records from previous school year. It displays the latest date of database backup to remind the user when is the last back-up date.

Plate 15 to 19 represented the account accessed by the teacher. After logging-in the system the teacher allows to view grade sheet, summary of grades and account info. Plate 15 and 16 depicted the grade sheet for the whole quarter. The teacher can add scores of students to every component such as written work, performance task, and quarterly assessment in grade sheet by clicking the add grade in each quarter as presented in Plate 17.



Conception
 C

Plate 14. Archiving and Restoration Content



Plate 15. Grade Sheets for the Whole Quarter

C O O locahout k	12/account/#cmd=indepcontent+	gad	ing-sh	eet.											节 ☆	10.10	0 0
12 Gradeboo	k System		1			1					F		1				
Notas L. Real	Year: Grade 6				Section	Narra				Subj	ect En	çlish - La	nguage				
Dashboard	First Quarter																
Grade Sheet																	
Summary Grades																	
Loopert Cottings																	3
Proceeding addressing a	PHONI		^	uu qika	ec.												
			Wr	itten W	orks 301	5	P	interme	one Terl	50%	0	netarly A.					
											40		ssessine	10.20%			
		81	#2	Total	PS	WS	81	Total	PS	WS	41	Total	PS	WS	Initial Grade	Quarte	rly Gra
	Highest Score Pasible	#1 30	#2 40	Total 70	PS TCQ	WS	#1 50	Total 50	PS 100	WS 50%	#1 100	Total 100	PS 100	WS 20%	Initial Grade	Quarte	rly Gra
	Highest Score Posible Male	#1 30	#2 40	Total 70	PS 100	WS 30%	#1 50	Total 50	PS 100	WS 50%	#1 100	Total 100	PS 100	WS 20%	Initial Grade	Quarte	rty Gra
	Highest Score Posible Male ADONES John Glenn, Tandoc	#1 30	#2 40 23	Total 70 38	PS 100 54.29	WS 30% 16.29	#1 50 26	Total 50	PS 1001 52.00	WS 50%	41 100 70	Total 100 - 70	PS 100   70.00	WS 20%   14.00	Initial Grade	Quarte	rty Gro 00
	Highest Score Posible Male ADONIS John Glenn, Tandec BARAQUIO Justin, Aquino	#1 20 15 25	#2 40 23 18	Total 70 38 43	PS 100 54.29 61.43	WS 30% 16.29 10.43	81 50 29 44	Total 50   26 44	PS 100   52.00 88.00	WS 50% 26.00 44.00	41 100 70 72	Total 100 : 70 72	PS 100   70.00 72.00	ws 20% 1 14.00 14.40	Initial Grade 100 56.29 76.83	Quarte	rty Grad 180 74

Plate 16. Sample Grade Sheet for a Quarter

K12 Grading System X		102207	- 1
→ C ① locahost/k12/acc	ount/#cmd=indeccontent=grading-sheet	芋☆ の 心	0
	Add grade		
	Component: Highest Pools	le Score:	
	Performance Task • 50		
	Male		
	1. VILLEGAS Benjie, Bumanlag 30		
	2. FUERTE John Kenneth, Dela Cruz		
	3. BELLEZA Mark Daniel, Caguioa Score		
	4. FERNANDEZ Richard Dean, Panilio Score		
	5. CORPUZ Morris, Canto Score		

Plate 17. Adding Scores/Grades to a Specified Component

P-ISSN: 2672-2984 E-ISSN: 2672-2992 www.sajst.org

Volume 2, Issue 1, 2017

Kitz Grading System X								and the second s	- 0
K12 Gradeboo	k System	1	T			E	FIF		
Nicetas L. Real	Grade 6	•	Natra				• SHO	W GRADE SHEET	
Cashboard	single •								
Summary Grades	Prize Choose •	PRINT							1.0
		_							
					English	Language			
-		_		Quart	English	Language		General Average	
	Name of Learners		1 1	Quart 2 i	English Fr 3	Language	Final Grade	General Average	
	Name of Learners ADONIS John Glenn, Tandoo	*	1 i 74	Quart 2   82	English tr 3   83	Language	Final Grade 79.25	General Average 79.25	
	Name of Learners ADONS John Glenn, Tandoc BARAGUO Justin, Aguino		1 # 74 85	Quart 2 1 82 87	English tr 3   83 79	Language 4 1 78 74	Final Grade 79.25 81.25	General Average 79:25 81:25	
	Name of Learners ABOHIS John Diem, Tantoc BARAQUO Justin, Againo BELLEZ Mark Darvel, Cagaica	-	1 i 74 85 73	Quart 2   82 87 79	English tr 3   83 79 82	Language 4 0 78 74 87	Final Grade 79.25 81.25 80.25	General Average 79.25 81.25 80.25	

Plate 18. Summary of Grades

Plate 18 shows the summary of grades for four quarters with the general average. Teachers can also view the progress report of his students comparing the grades per quarter presented in visual graphics as seen in Plate 19.

/ 🔹 K	12 Grading System K												123300	-	0
+	C () () localhost/k12/	account/#cmd=indexco	nterð - yr	ade-sum	ray .							7	***	0 B	
K	12 Gradebook	System		1			1	14							
g	Nicetas L. Real	Graphical	•												
=	Dashboard														liset
1	Grade Sheet														
	Summary Grades							Engli	ih - Lan	guage					
\$	Account Settings							Qu	inter				General Aven		
		Name of . Learners	1	1	2	1	3	÷.	4	1	Final Grade				
		ADONIS John Glenn, Tandoc	74		02		83		л		79.25		79.25		1
		BARAQUIO Justin, Aquine	85		87		79		- 34.		81:25		81.25		
		BELLEZA Mark Daniel, Coguioa	n		79		82		37		00.25		80.25		

Plate 19. Progress Report in Every Quarter

The Plate 20 to 22 presented the sample print-outs of grade sheet or academic records, summary of grades and sample report card of every student.



Volume 2, Issue 1, 2017 P-ISSN: 2672-2984 E-ISSN: 2672-2992 www.sajst.org

28/2017								Crain	g Cyslem						
						C	lass	s Red	cord						
				6	Pursua	nt to	Deper	1 Order	8 serie	s of 2	015)				
			REGR	ON: Reg	jion 1			DIV	ISION: 2	2				100	100
Dog	þ		SCH0 Natio	IOL NA nal Hig	ME: Ma h Scho	ngal sl	dan	SCH SCH Mar	100L IE 100L Y 100L 201	): 121 EAR: 7	456 June 2	016 to		U	J
QUARTER: FI	RST		GRAD Narra	E AND	SECTIO	ON: O	irade 6	" TEA	CHER	NICE	TAS L.	REAL	SU Lar	BJECT: nguage	English
		Wr	itten V	forks 3	0%	Pe	rforma	nce Ta	ık 50%	Qu	arterly ;	Asses: 20%	ament	Initial	Quarte
	#1	#2	Total	PS	WS	#1	Total	PS	WS	#1	Total	PS	WS	Grade	Grade
Highest Score Posible	30	40	70	100	30%	50	50	100	50%	100	100	100	20%	100	100
Male															
ADONIS John Glenn, Tandoc	15	23	38	54.29	16.29	26	26	52.00	26.00	70	70	70.00	14.00	56.29	74
BARAQUIO Justin, Aquíno	25	18	43	61.43	18.43	44	44	88.00	44.00	72	72	72.00	14.40	76.83	85
BELLEZA Mark Daniel, Caguioa	15	12	27	38.57	11.57	30	30	60.00	30.00	59	59	59.00	11.80	53.37	73
CORPUZ Justin Loiusse, Repato	29	19	48	68.57	20.57	23	23	46.00	23.00	73	73	73.00	14.60	58.17	74
CORPUZ Morris, Canto	25	32	57	81.43	24.43	48	48	96.00	48.00	77	77	77.00	15.40	87.83	92
CRUZ Clarenz	10	21	31	44.29	13.29	34	34	68.00	34.00	82	82	82.00	16.40	63.69	77

Plate 20. Sample Print-Outs of Academic Records

		Class	Record			
	(Pui	suant to Deped (	Order 8 series d	of 2015)		
	REGION: Reg	ion 1	DIVISION: 2		-	NO COLO
Riogo	SCHOOL NAM National High	dE: Mangaldan School	SCHOOL ID: 1 SCHOOL YEA March 2017	123456 R: June 2016 to	Q	
QUARTER: SUMMARY	GRADE AND 6 - Narra	SECTION: Grade	TEACHER: N	CETAS L. REAL	SUBJEC Languag	T: English - je
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Final Grade	Remarks
Male						
ADONIS John Glenn, Tandoc	74	82	83	78	79.25	
BARAQUIO Justin, Aquino	85	87	79	74	81.25	
BELLEZA Mark Daniel, Caguioa	73	79	82	87	80.25	
CORPUZ Justin Loiusse , Repato	74	85	80	77	79	
CORPUZ Morris, Canto	92	76	74	87	82.25	
CRUZ Clarenz Justin, Viray	77	74	85	80	79	
CRUZ Mark Anthony, Reyna	76	79	80	86	80.25	
CRUZ Rod Michael, Reyna	84	93	74	78	82.25	
DELA CRUZ Francheska, Malanum	76	87	71	87	80.25	

Plate 21. Sample Print-outs of Summary of Final Grades for the Whole Quarters

١	Ma N Hiç	ang Vati gh S	١					
Report Card								
Vame:VILLEGAS Benjie Buma	inlag	cpor	l Oui	u				
Name:VILLEGAS Benjie Buma Student ID:16-LNSHS-0120	inlag	que	rter	u				
Name:VILLEGAS Benjie Buma Itudent ID:16-LNSHS-0120 Learning Areas	inlag 1	Qua 2	rter 3	4	- Final Grade	Remarks		
iame:VILLEGAS Benjie Buma tudent ID:16-LNSHS-0120 Learning Areas English - Language	inlag 1 82	Qua 2 82	rter 3 92	4 90	Final Grade	Remarks		
Name-VILLEGAS Benjie Buma Rudent ID:16-LNSHS-0120 Learning Areas English - Language Science 6	inlag 1 82 60	Qua 2 82 60	rter 3 92 60	4 90 60	Final Grade 86.50 60.00	Remarks		
Iame-VILLEGAS Benjie Burna audent ID:16-LNSHS-0120 Learning Areas English - Language Science 6 Mathematics-Algebra	nlag 1 82 60 60	Qua 2 82 60 60	rter 3 92 60 60	4 90 60	Final Grade 86.50 60.00 60.00	Remarks		

Plate 22. Sample Print-outs of Report Card

The mobile application on Plate 23 to 26 provides access of academic records of students to teachers for viewing purposes only.

K12 Gradebook	■ @@¶¶}isse® 11664 K12 Gradebook
IP Address  Enter IP Address Access Access Remember P Address	Username: Enter Username Password: Enter Password
	Describer ne

Plate 23. Entering IP Address of the Server and the Username and Password of Teacher

Plate 23 presented the entry of IP address and, username and password. To access the data from the server, the teacher must know its IP address. After successfully connecting to the server by entering the IP address, the teacher should log-in to his account through the application.



Plate 24. Home Page of Mobile App

Plate 24 depicts the Home Page of teacher's account in Mobile App with grading sheet, summary of grades and settings menus or tabs to access. Plate 25 shows the viewing of grading sheet with same process as in computer based system. The teacher can also view the scores and grades of the students from highest to



lowest or lowest to highest for checking the rank of the students and for monitoring purposes.

				Vear Orada 7					
Grade	Sheet			Section: Aristotle					
				Subject: Fillipino					
	Year:	Grade 7		Eiret Quarter					
	Section:	Aristotle		That Quarter					
	Subject:	Filipino						Sear	ct
			-		۷	Vritten Wo	rks 20%	Quarte	rt
				~ ~ ~	#2	Total	PS	Grad	•
	St	IOTH ONCOLONICE I		Name of ↑↓ Learners	50	70	100	2100	1
	St			*Learners	50 34	70	100 <sup>† 1</sup> 70.00	2 100	1
	31			Mane of the second seco	50 34 40	70 14 49 57	100 <sup>11</sup> 70.00 81.43	2 100 <sup>1</sup> 90 88	1
	51			Name of taken of take	50 34 40 of 2 entri	70 <sup>1</sup> 49 57 es	100 <sup>11,</sup> 70.00 81.43	2100 <sup>†</sup> 90 88	1
	St			:Name of 11 Learners (Mendez Riza :Santos Jenny Showing 1 to 2 Second Quarter	50 34 40 of 2 entri	49 57 es	100 <sup>11</sup> 70.00 81.43	2100 <sup>†</sup> 90 88	1
	St		_	Name of t i Learners Mendez Riza Isantos Jenny Showing 1 to 2 i Second Quarter	50 34 40 of 2 entri	49 57 es	100 <sup>11</sup> 70.00 81.43	2100 90 88	1

Plate 25. Viewing Grade Sheet through Mobile

Juilli	mary Grade Sheet	Summary Grade Sheet
	Year: Grade 7	Year: Grade 7
	Section: Aristotle	Section: Aristotle
1	SHOW GRADE SHEET	SHOW GRADE SHEET
		Search:
		Filipino Quarter General
ad S	ummary of Grades	Name of ti 1 TI 2 TI 3 TI 4 TI Average Learners
		Mendez Riza, Sy 90 60 60 60 67.50
		Santos Jenny, an con con con 67.00
		Natividad 88 60 60 60 67.00



Teachers at the same time can view the summary of grades or the final grades for four quarters by selecting the year and section as displayed in Plate 26 above. This shows the quarterly grades and general average of the students in one section.

#### Transition

In this phase, the system was employed and tested for its usability and acceptability wherein it was evaluated and tested by 5 IT experts, 139 high school teachers, and 2 Volume 2, Issue 1, 2017 P-ISSN: 2672-2984 E-ISSN: 2672-2992 www.sajst.org

Division supervisors. The results were indicated in the level of usability and acceptability of the developed system on page 46. As stated by Burton (2009) system assessment of user satisfaction or appreciation thus serve as a diagnostic method of its implementation.

The following table represents the hardware and software minimum requirements that are needed for the implementation of the system to meet the best performance and to work it properly.

of the System	
Hardware/Software Requirements	Specification
1. Desktop/ Laptop Computer	
Processor	Intel i3
	processor or
	higher
Memory	2GB RAM
HDD	320 GB
Available Disk	1 GB
Space	
Operating System	Windows 7 or
(ÔS)	higher
Server Support	Apache Server
	2.4.23, PHP
	5.7.14,
	MySQL Client
	Version 5.6.25
Web Browser	Google Chrome
2. Mobile Devices	
Processor	Quad Core 1.2
	GHz
Memory/RAM	1 GB
Operating	Android 5.0
System(OS)	

## Table 2. Hardware and Software Requirements of the System

# Usability of K-12 Multiplatform Gradebook Application

Usability measurement and testing of the developed system was conducted to ensure that the system is usable and working properly, efficiently and appropriately to the given requirements and helpful to the users. It was evaluated through a questionnaire based from



SUMI focusing on efficiency, affect, helpfulness, control, and learnability. The questionnaire was distributed to 5 IT experts from different Institutions.

The Table 3 presents the mean of each indicator for usability of the developed system in terms of efficiency, affect, helpfulness, control and learnability. The learnability indicator garnered the highest mean at 4.95, while the lowest mean at 4.78 was computed for the helpfulness indicator. With a mean of 4.88, it can be concluded that the developed system is very usable in terms of efficiency, affect, helpfulness, control and learnability. It only shows that it is performing and functioning well with a least waste of time and effort, satisfies the user, provides higher throughput, and easy to use and to learn. Albers and Still (2011) states that usability tests should indicate that everything about a system is working fine and end users are able to use the system to complete tasks successfully.

TABLE 3. Summary of the Usability of K-12 Gradebook Multiplatform Gradebook Application

		Descriptiv	Descriptive
Indicator	Mean	e	Interpretation
		Equivalent	
1. Efficiency	1 03	Fully	Vory Usabla
	4.95	Agree	very Usable
2. Affect	1.92	Fully	Vor Usabla
	4.85	Agree	very Usable
3. Helpfulne	1 70	Fully	Vom Usshla
SS	4.78	Agree	very Usable
4. Control	4.02	Fully	Vor Ucoblo
	4.95	Agree	very Usable
5. Learnabili	4.05	Fully	Vary Usable
ty	4.95	Agree	very Usable
Maar	4.00	Fully	Verry Usehle
Iviean	4.88	Agree	very Usable

#### Acceptability of K-12 Multiplatform Gradebook Application

In this phase, the developed system was measured and tested its acceptability. Acceptability measurement was conducted to ensure that the system performs accurately and appropriately to the given requirements and satisfies the users' need.

It was evaluated through a questionnaire focusing based from ISO 9126-1 on functionality, reliability, usability, efficiency, portability. maintainability, and The questionnaire was distributed to 139 faculty members of Mangaldan National High School, Mangaldan, Pangasinan that was selected as the respondents and obliged to be the user of the system. Additionally, 2 supervisors were also selected as one of the respondents of the system, 1 supervisor from DepEd Regional Office I and 1 supervisor from DepEd Division Pangasinan I.

The Table 4 presents the mean of each indicator for acceptability of the developed system in terms of functionality, reliability, usability, efficiency, maintainability, and portability. The functionality indicator garnered the highest mean at 4.55, while the lowest mean at 4.40 was computed for the reliability indicator. With a grand mean of 4.50, it can be concluded that the developed system is acceptable in terms of functionality, reliability, maintainability, usability, efficiency, and portability.

TABLE 4. Summary of the Acceptability of K-12 Multiplatform Gradebook Application

Indicator	Mean	Descriptive Equivalent	Descriptive Interpretati on
1. Functionality	4.55	Excellent	Acceptable
2. Reliability	4.40	Excellent	Acceptable
3. Usability	4.55	Excellent	Acceptable
4. Efficiency	4.52	Excellent	Acceptable
5. Maintainabil ity	4.43	Excellent	Acceptable
6. Portability	4.54	Excellent	Acceptable



Mean	4.50	Excellent	Acceptable

### **V. CONCLUSIONS**

Based on the findings, the researchers arrived at the following conclusions:

- 1. Using iterative and incremental development model was resulting to well developed and well function system.
- 2. The developed system is very usable in terms of functionality, affect, helpfulness, control, and learnability and acceptable in terms functionality, reliability, usability, efficiency, maintainability, and portability which shows that the system functions well with commands easy to learn and can be implemented for grading process.

#### **VI. RECOMMENDATIONS**

- Based on the conclusions, the following are hereby recommended:
- 1. The K-12 grading system is recommended to be reviewed by the end-users before using the developed system.
- 3. Usability and acceptability evaluation of a system is recommended to apply by the future researchers for good implementation of their developed system.
- 4. The K-12 Multiplatform Gradebook Application is recommended to be fully implemented in all DepEd Schools in the Region 1.

#### VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Grateful acknowledgement is expressed to our God, the Almighty One, who served as a guide and gave knowledge, strength, and all the blessings to the researchers including those who helped them in the completion of this research study. And to all those who have become a big part of the researchers' life, who served as an inspiration and motivation in doing this study.

The researchers would also like to express their warmest gratitude to Don Mariano Marcos Memorial State University, Mid La Volume 2, Issue 1, 2017 P-ISSN: 2672-2984 E-ISSN: 2672-2992 www.sajst.org

Union Campus, College of Information Technology for the encouragements and concern in doing this study.

#### **VIII. REFERENCES**

Albers, M. & Still B. (2011). Usability of Complex Information Systems- Evaluation of User Interaction. London, New York: CRC Press

Barreno, et.al (2014). Computerized Grading System for Metropolitan Academy of Manila. Metropolitan Academy of Manila, Manila, Philippines

Bataineh, E. & Al Abbar, H. (2007). New Mobile-based Electronic Grade Management System. Vancouver, Canada: Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE)

- Burton, S. (2009). Information System Implementation. Pennsylvania: Irwin
- Cornel, L.D. (2011).DCNHS e-student Personal Record.Collegio de Dagupan, Dagupan City, Pangasinan.
- DepEd Order No.8 s.2015. Policy Guidelines on Classroom Assessment for the K to 12 Basic Education Program. DepEd Complex Meralco Avenue, Pasig City
- Duran, I.L. (2013). K-12 Online Grading System. Iamure International Journal of the Multidisciplinary Research, Vol. 7 No. 1(2013)
- Harisha, K. R. (2017). Software Development Process and Its Importance Retrieved April 2017. From www. Streetdirectory.com/travelguide/135488/so ftware/software\_development\_process\_an d its importance.html

Hudsonville Public Schools. (2015). Grading Scale Changes. Retrieved April 2017 from http://www.hudsonville.k12.mi.us/news/ Grading-Scale-

Changes.cfm?grp\_id=20603

McCall (1977) and Boehm (1978). Software Quality Attributes. Retrieved February 2016 from http://www.sqa.net/softwarequalityattribu tes.html



Thompson, M., Ahm, B. (2012). The Development of an Online Grading System for Distributed Grading. Korea: ResearchGate Volume 2, Issue 1, 2017 P-ISSN: 2672-2984 E-ISSN: 2672-2992 www.sajst.org